Comments and Responses on Public Review Draft of SOCCR/SAP 2.2 (September 2006)

This compilation of published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some inconsistencies and their implications should be explained. The published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some inconsistencies and their implications should be explained. These inconsistencies and their implications should be explained. The published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some inconsistencies between peatland carbon stocks of inconsistencies and their implications should be explained. These inconsistencies and their implications should be explained. These inconsistencies and their implications should be explained. The published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some inconsistencies between peatland carbon stocks of inconsistencies be	COMMENTS FROM PUBLIC REVIEWERS							AUTHOR'S RESPONSE						
This compilation of published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some inconsistencies between peatland carbon stock estimates presented in this chapter and the corresponding estimates presented in Chapte explained. X We initially tried to make the data for these two chapters consister particularly with reference to the area and carbon stocks of Canadian wetlands. I believe that these are completely consisten now (there was one minor change required). The authors of Chapters 12 and 13 occasionally chose to use somewhat different explained. In this compilation of published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some particularly with reference to the area and carbon stocks of Canadian wetlands. I believe that these are completely consisten now (there was one minor change required). The authors of Chapters 12 and 13 occasionally chose to use somewhat different explained. It is compilation of published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some particularly with reference to the area and carbon stocks of Canadian wetlands. I believe that these are completely consisten now (there was one minor change required). The authors of Chapters 12 and 13 occasionally sucks of Chapters 13 and 14 and					- JE		S	ø)		ے	7.01			
This compilation of published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some inconsistencies between peatland carbon stock estimates presented in this chapter and the corresponding estimates presented in Chapte explained. X We initially tried to make the data for these two chapters consister particularly with reference to the area and carbon stocks of Canadian wetlands. I believe that these are completely consisten now (there was one minor change required). The authors of Chapters 12 and 13 occasionally chose to use somewhat different explained. In this compilation of published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some particularly with reference to the area and carbon stocks of Canadian wetlands. I believe that these are completely consisten now (there was one minor change required). The authors of Chapters 12 and 13 occasionally chose to use somewhat different explained. It is compilation of published data is the most impressive piece of assessment scholarship in this report. There are some particularly with reference to the area and carbon stocks of Canadian wetlands. I believe that these are completely consisten now (there was one minor change required). The authors of Chapters 12 and 13 occasionally sucks of Chapters 13 and 14 and	Comment Number	Reviewer ID	Chapter	Page	Line	Comment Text	Acknowledged, but no further reponse or revision are required	Revisions have been incorporated as suggested in the comment	Agree, but see "Notes on Response"	Agree, but elaboration is precluded by length limitations	Disagree; see "Notes on Response"	Beyond scope of report/chapter	Notes on Response	
	13-001	12	13	13-21ff		assessment scholarship in this report. There are some inconsistencies between peatland carbon stock estimates presented in this chapter and the corresponding estimates presented in Chapte 12. These inconsistencies and their implications should be							Canadian wetlands. I believe that these are completely consistent now (there was one minor change required). The authors of Chapters 12 and 13 occasionally chose to use somewhat different flux estimates for Canadian and Alaskan wetlands, but I believe tha	
	-													
	-													
	-													